

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.nispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/071,999 02/06/2002		Bernard Aspar	034299-382	3061
75	90 01/29/2003			
Robert E.Krebs			EXAMINER	
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP PO Box 640640			RICHARDS, N DREW	
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

29,				Mr /				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/071,999	ASPAR ET AL.					
		Examin r	Art Unit					
		N. Drew Richards	2815					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	aress				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply leriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. & 133).	mmunication.				
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 F	ebruary 2002 .						
· <u> </u>		s action is non-final.						
	·							
•	n of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 20-36 is/are pending in the application.							
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) <u>20-36</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) (8) Applicatio	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or n Papers	election requirement.						
	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/413,483.							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)∏ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).				
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment(5)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC					
I.S. Patent and Trac	demark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: "characterized" is misspelled in line 1. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Applicant is advised that should claim 28 be found allowable, claim 34 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the metal layer at the conductive interface and the conductive bonding materials must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 21, 22, 24-29, and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 recites "multitude of zones" in line 2. This is indefinite as it is not clear whether the same zones are being claimed as in claim 20 of whether new zones are being claimed. Claim 21 recites "these zones" in line 3. This is indefinite as it is not clear whether the zones claimed are the at least one zone of claim 20 or new zones of claim 21 line 2. It is suggested that these passages recite "multitude of said zones" and "said multitude of zones."

Claim 22 recites "one zone or a plurality of zones" in lines 2-3. This is indefinite as it is not clear whether the same zones are being claimed as in claim 20 of whether new zones are being claimed. It is suggested that this passage recite "one of said zones or a plurality of said zones." Claims 28, 29, 32 and 34-36 depend from claim 22 and are thus indefinite as they include the same indefinite limitations as claim 22.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "the conductive interface" in line 2. There is no antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 25 and 33 depend from claim 24 and are thus indefinite as they include the same indefinite limitations as claim 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,999

Art Unit: 2815

Claim 26 recites the limitation "said metal interface layer" in line 2. there is no antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 27 depends from claim 26 and are thus indefinite as they include the same indefinite limitations as claim 26.

Claim 33 is further indefinite as it recites the limitation "said metal interface layer" in line 2. There is no antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 20-23, 26, 28-32 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morris et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,369,042).

Morris et al. disclose in figure 2e a thin layer of a semiconductive material made insulating by ion implantation except for at least one zone that allows a vertical electrical connection through the entire thickness of the thin layer. Thin layer 52,64 is semiconductive and portions 118 are made insulating by implanting oxygen such that the central portion remains as a vertical electrical connection through the entire thickness of the thin layer.

With regard to claim 21, the thin layer comprises a multitude of zones 52,64 distributed over the entire surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,999

Art Unit: 2815

With regard to claim 22, the thin layer comprises one zone to constitute at least one conductive path.

With regard to claim 23, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through an intermediate conductive surface (bottom surface of layers 52,64).

With regard to claim 26, the bottom surface of layers 52,64 are considered conductive bonding materials as they are conductive and they bond the bulk of layers 52,64 to support 50. The limitation of deposition is a product by process limitation that is not structurally distinguishing over the prior art.

With regard to claim 28, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through the use of a brazing material (bottom surface of layers 52,64).

With regard to claim 29, the brazing material is based on indium as layers 52,64 are disclosed as being such materials as InGaAs, InP, or GaInP.

With regard to claim 30, the material of the thin layer is GaAs.

With regard to claim 31, the support is disclosed as being silicon as on column 6 lines 15-20, Morris et al. disclose that the device may be a silicon device and would therefore be formed upon a silicon substrate.

With regard to claim 32, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through an intermediate conductive interface (the interface between conductive material 52,64 and support 50).

With regard to claim 34, the thin layer is made integral with a support 50 through the use of a brazing material (bottom surface of layers 52,64).

With regard to claim 35, the material of the thin layer is GaAs.

Art Unit: 2815

With regard to claim 36, the support is disclosed as being silicon as on column 6 lines 15-20, Morris et al. disclose that the device may be a silicon device and would therefore be formed upon a silicon substrate.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (703) 306-5946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30; Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

NDR

January 27, 2003

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800